

**SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION  
ON PROSECUTION COORDINATION**

**COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA**

**STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT**

**JUNE 30, 2019**



## Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

January 13, 2020

Members of the Commission  
South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination  
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described in Attachment 1, which were agreed to by the management of the South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination (the Commission), on the systems, processes and behaviors related to financial activity of the Commission for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019. The Commission's management is responsible for the systems, processes and behaviors related to financial activity. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described in Attachment 1 either for the purpose for which the agreed-upon procedures report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the systems, processes and behaviors related to financial activity of the Commission. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The concept of materiality does not apply to findings to be reported in an agreed-upon procedures engagement. Therefore, all findings from the application of the agreed-upon procedures must be reported unless the definition of materiality is agreed to by the specified parties. Management of the Commission has agreed that the following deficiencies will not be included in the State Auditor's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures:

- Errors of less than \$1,000 related to cash receipts and non-payroll cash disbursements transactions.
- Errors of less than \$1,000 related to reporting packages.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the governing body and management of the South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

George L. Kennedy, III, CPA  
State Auditor

**South Carolina Office of the State Auditor  
Agreed-Upon Procedures - South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination (E21)**

**Cash Receipts/Revenues**

1. Compare current year revenues at the subfund and account level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. Obtain from management an understanding of variations over \$47,000 – Earmarked Fund, \$3,600 – Federal Fund and 10%.
2. Randomly select sixteen cash receipts transactions and inspect supporting documentation to:
  - Ensure transaction amount, date, payor, document number, and account classification are properly reported in the general ledger.
  - Determine that revenues/receipts were deposited in a timely manner, in accordance with Proviso 117.1 of the Appropriation Act.
  - Ensure that both revenue collections and amounts charged are properly authorized by law.
  - Determine that receipts are recorded in the proper fiscal year.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

**Cash Disbursements/Non-Payroll Expenditures**

3. Compare current year non-payroll expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. Obtain from management an understanding of variations over \$162,000 – General Fund, \$47,000 – Earmarked Fund, \$3,800 – Federal Fund and 10%.
4. Randomly select twenty-five non-payroll disbursements and inspect supporting documentation to determine:
  - Transaction is properly completed as required by Commission procedures; invoice(s) agree(s) with general ledger as to vendor, amount, number, and date.
  - All supporting documents and approvals required by Commission procedures are present and agree with the invoice.
  - The transaction is a bona fide expenditure of the Commission.
  - The transaction is properly classified in the general ledger.
  - Disbursement complied with applicable State laws, rules, and regulations including the State Consolidated Procurement Code, state travel regulations etc.
  - Disbursements are recorded in the proper fiscal year.
  - Clerical accuracy / confirm proper sales/use tax.

For federally funded cash disbursements/non-payroll expenditures selected, inspect supporting documentation to determine:

- Charges are necessary and reasonable for the proper administration of the program, incurred during the approved grant period, given consistent accounting treatment and applied uniformly to both federally assisted and other activities of the recipient.

## **Cash Disbursements/Non-Payroll Expenditures (Continued)**

5. Inspect the Commission's distributions to the Judicial Circuit Solicitors' Offices to determine if the distributions were performed in accordance with applicable State laws and Commission policies and procedures.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures. It was noted, however, that the Commission received less than the total amount of municipal traffic education program funding as reported by the State Treasurer's Office to complete an equitable distribution to the entitled circuits. The funding shortage was created by a prior year municipality reporting error that necessitated the State Treasurer's Office to issue a refund from the current year's funding. Therefore, approximately \$5,000 of municipal court funding for traffic education program fees were carried forward and will be distributed once funding is sufficient to allow for an equitable distribution.

## **Payroll**

6. Compare current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. Obtain from management an understanding of variations over \$162,000 – General Fund, \$3,800 – Federal Fund and 10%.
7. Compute the percentage distribution of fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compare to the actual distribution of recorded personal service expenditures by fund source. Obtain an explanation of variations greater than 10%.
8. Compare the percentage change in personal service expenditures between the current year and prior year to the percentage change in employer contributions expenditures between the current year and prior year. Obtain an explanation of variations greater than 10%.
9. Randomly select five employee payments and inspect supporting documentation during the fiscal year to:
  - Obtain and inspect the employee's payroll and/or personnel file for various forms, communications, etc., to confirm that the person is a bona fide employee of the Commission.
  - Agree gross pay to supporting documentation confirming all changes to gross salary for the year. Determine that all changes have been properly approved.
10. Select all employees who terminated employment during the fiscal year to determine if they were removed from the payroll in accordance with the Commission's policies and procedures, that the employee's last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee's leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.
11. Select all employees hired during the fiscal year to determine if they were added to the payroll in accordance with the Commission's policies and procedures and that their first pay check was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## **Journal Entries**

12. Haphazardly select five journal entries for the fiscal year to:
  - Trace postings to the general ledger, confirming amounts agree with supporting documentation.
  - Confirm transaction is properly approved.
  - Inspect supporting documentation to confirm the purpose of the transaction.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## Reporting Packages

13. Obtain copies of fiscal year end reporting packages submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller General (CG). Inspect the Master Reporting Package Checklist to determine the appropriate reporting packages were prepared and submitted by the due date established by the CG's Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual.
14. In addition to the procedure above, perform the following:
  - Capital Assets Reporting Package

Determine if responses and reported amounts are reasonable/accurate based on inspection of the South Carolina Enterprise Information System (SCEIS) general ledger, the SCEIS Asset History Sheet and/or Commission prepared records.
  - Operating Leases Reporting Package

Determine if amounts agree to the SCEIS general ledger, the SCEIS Yearend Reporting Operating Lease Expense with Vendor report and/or Commission prepared records. In addition, haphazardly select three transactions from the SCEIS Yearend Reporting Operating Lease Expense with Vendor Report and based on the inspection of invoices and lease agreements, determine if the rental payments were properly classified, coded, and calculated.
  - Subsequent Events Questionnaire

Determine if responses are reasonable/accurate and any required supplemental information was properly prepared and submitted based on inspection of the SCEIS general ledger and/or Commission prepared records.

## Finding

The balance for Assets Under Construction (AUC) on the SCEIS Asset History Sheet was understated by approximately \$150,000, and the discrepancy wasn't reported on Capital Assets Reporting Package form 3.08.1.

## Management's Response

The Commission agrees with the finding and has corrected the discrepancy noted. The Commission will make every effort to ensure future errors are avoided.

## Governance, Risk and Compliance (GRC) SCEIS Module

15. Inspect the SCEIS GRC system to identify any new controls since the prior year inspection of this system. Confirm with management that any new controls identified are operating as designed.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## Appropriation Act/Laws

16. Confirm compliance with South Carolina Code of Laws 10-1-140 through observation and/or inspection of the Commission's annual personal property inventory documentation.
17. Confirm compliance with the Commission-specific state provisos by inquiring with management and observing supporting documentation, where applicable.

## **Appropriation Act/Laws (Continued)**

### **Finding**

Similar to the finding reported in the prior year, the reports associated with Provisos 60.7 and 60.9 were not submitted by the Commission within the time frame required by the provisos. The proviso requirement is no later than sixty days after the conclusion of the fiscal year.

### **Management's Response**

The Commission acknowledges the General Assembly's direction to collect and report information and data pursuant to Provisos 60.7 and 60.9 (FY19-20) and will continue to work diligently to submit the required reports by the due date noted. The Commission notes, however, that the data collection and remittance directed within the due date as presently required will remain a challenge with the resources currently available to the Commission and the statewide Offices of Solicitor. The required data is not fully available until the close of each fiscal year on June 30 and must be manually gathered and submitted by each circuit solicitor's office. This data is received by Commission staff at different times and in a variety of formats. The data received must then be manually entered by Commission staff into a comprehensive report. Development and staffing of a prosecution data collection system for the Commission and acquisition Prosecution case management systems for the Office of Solicitor would support collection and reporting of the required data. Funding to support this initiative was requested of but not provided during the last two budget cycles for FY18-19 and again for the General Assembly for FY19-20 and the necessary funding has been requested again for the upcoming FY20-21. Without funding to support this initiative, the Commission offers as an alternative and a less-appealing solution that the General Assembly consider amending Provisos 60.7 and 60.9 by changing the due date for the required reports to 150 days following the conclusion of the fiscal year.

The Commission also notes that staffing changes that occurred at the beginning of FY19-20 before these reports were due and the resulting increased workloads this created also impacted the delay in submitting the reports.

### **Status of Prior year Findings**

18. Through inquiry and inspection, determine if the Commission has taken appropriate corrective action on the findings reported during the engagement for the prior fiscal year.

We determined that the Commission has taken adequate corrective action on each of the findings, except where noted in the Appropriation Act/Laws finding above.